

Exploring the evolution and terrestrialization of scorpions (Arachnida: Scorpiones) with rocks and clocks

Richard J. Howard^{1, 2, 3}, Gregory D. Edgecombe², David A. Legg⁴, Davide Pisani³, Jesus Lozano-Fernandez^{5, 3}

1. Department of Biosciences, University of Exeter, Tremough Innovation Centre, Penryn, Cornwall, TR10 9TA.
2. Department of Earth Sciences, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London, SW7 5BD, UK.
3. School of Earth Sciences and School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Life Sciences Building, 24 Tyndall Avenue, Bristol, BS8 1TQ, UK.
4. School of Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Manchester, Williamson Building, 176 Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9QQ, UK.
5. Department of Evolutionary Biology, Ecology and Environmental Sciences & Biodiversity Research Institute (IRBio), University of Barcelona, Avd. Diagonal 643, 08028, Barcelona, Spain.

Corresponding author: Richard J. Howard

r.howard@nhm.ac.uk

+44 (0) 7932 984035

Abstract

Scorpions (Arachnida: Scorpiones Koch, 1837) are an ancient chelicerate arthropod lineage characterised by distinctive subdivision of the opisthosoma and venomous toxicity. The crown group is represented by over 2400 extant species, and unambiguous fossil representatives are known at least from the Cretaceous Period. However, a number of extinct scorpion lineages existed in the Palaeozoic Era, many of which are of a contentious marine (or at least semi-aquatic) lifestyle, and have long caused confusion regarding the nature of arachnid terrestrialization and arachnid phylogeny more broadly. To clarify the process of terrestrialization, there is a need to marry fossil and extant scorpions in a common evolutionary framework utilizing modern advances in phylogenetics. Here, we review phylogenetic hypotheses of arachnid and scorpion interrelationships, relevant advances in phylogenetic divergence time estimation and the scorpion fossil record – especially with reference to terrestrialization. In addition, we provide a list of scorpion fossil calibrations for use in molecular dating and demonstrate their utility in deriving a novel scorpion timetree using Bayesian relaxed-clock methods. Our results reveal a window of divergence from 335-266 Mya for the scorpion crown group, consistent with a Pangean origin of crown scorpions inferred from the biogeographical distribution of the extant fauna.

Key words: *scorpions, arachnids, molecular clocks, terrestrialization, phylogenetics, palaeobiology*

1. Introduction

Scorpions (Arachnida: Scorpiones Koch, 1837) are a relatively speciose (~2400 extant species, <https://www.ntnu.no/ub/scorpion-files/>) and medically significant (e.g. Isbister and Saluba Bawaskar 2014) group of chelicerates. The group has an almost cosmopolitan biogeographical distribution, being absent only in boreal environments, Antarctica, and on some more isolated island land masses – though they have been translocated as anthropogenic introductions (e.g. Wanless 1977). Like most arachnids, with the exception of the more ecologically diverse mites, scorpions are predators, generally feeding on other arthropods and occasionally small vertebrates.

Extant scorpions are instantly recognisable in possessing a pair of chelate pincer-like pedipalps, a post-anal telson equipped with a stinger, and a pair of unique ventral comb-like sensory organs called pectines. The scorpion body-plan is also unique among arachnids with respect to its tagmosis. Scorpions exhibit a clearly demarcated tripartite organisation consisting of an anterior appendage-bearing prosoma (as in all chelicerates generally), a medial mesosoma that houses the reproductive and respiratory systems, and a posterior tail-like metasoma that terminates with the anus and precedes the telson – comprising a vesicle and aculeus via which venom is delivered.

Scorpions have an ancient evolutionary history, represented by a reasonably continuous fossil record stretching back as far as the Telychian Stage (Silurian, Llandovery) (Jeram 1998; Dunlop 2010; Dunlop and Selden 2013; Waddington et al. 2015). Scorpions therefore potentially constituted a component of the earliest faunas of complex terrestrial ecosystems, along with myriapods and, at least by the Early Devonian, hexapods (see Lozano-Fernandez et al. 2016). Little has been done however to constrain the radiation of the extant and fossil scorpion lineages together in a common evolutionary framework. This is unfortunate as the evolutionary radiation(s) of scorpions is of interest in the study of arthropod macroevolution and biogeography, terrestrialization (both from a physiological perspective and in the context of the evolution of the Earth system), and in resolving the problematic phylogenetic relationships between the arachnid orders.

As such, we aim to provide an interdisciplinary synthesis on scorpion evolution by reviewing modern and historical phylogenetic hypotheses of scorpion interrelationships, and scorpion palaeontology – framed within the context of arachnid terrestriality. We highlight the complementary nature of scorpions to critically evaluating molecular dating studies, and provide additional fossil calibrations for dating the scorpion Tree of Life. In addition, we apply these fossil calibrations in a Bayesian relaxed-clock analysis in order to constrain the age of the scorpion crown group.

2. Scorpions on the Arachnid Tree of Life

Within the Chelicerata, scorpions belong to the familiar group Arachnida, the systematic origin of which can be traced back to the 19th Century French naturalist Jean-Baptiste Lamarck (Lamarck 1801). In its modern iteration, Arachnida usually comprises 16 orders. These include the living Scorpiones (true scorpions), Pseudoscorpiones (pseudoscorpions or false scorpions), Araneae (spiders), Amblypygi (whip spiders or tailless whip scorpions), Thelyphonida (whip scorpions or vinegaroons), Schizomida (short-tailed whip scorpions), Acariformes (acariform mites), Parasitiformes (ticks and parasitiform mites), Opiliones (daddy long legs or harvestmen), Solifugae (sun spiders or camel spiders), Ricinulei (hooded tick spiders) and Palpigradi (micro whip scorpions); and the extinct Haptopoda, Phalangiotarbida, Trigonotarbida and Uraraneida.

Whilst the monophyly of the living arachnid groups (apart from the mites and ticks) is virtually undisputed (Dunlop et al. 2014), their interrelationships are generally poorly resolved (Fig. 1), though some reasonable higher level clades are emergent. Tetrapulmonata – comprising Araneae, Amblypygi, Thelyphonida, Schizomida, Uraraneida and Haptopoda; plus Trigonotarbida as Pantetrapulmonata (Shultz 2007) – is the most stable of these, with strong morphological support in the form of, among other characters, a common respiratory configuration with book lungs on the same two opisthosomal segments (Shultz 1990, 2007). Multiple molecular phylogenetic studies have also given weight to Tetrapulmonata (Wheeler and Hayashi 1998; Shultz and Regier 2000; Pepato et al. 2010; Regier et al. 2010; Rehm et al. 2012; Sharma et al. 2014), and the most recent and data-rich of these studies have allied this group to scorpions. Using different multilocus and phylogenomic-scale datasets Regier et al. (2010) and Sharma et al. (2014) each recovered a sister group relationship between Tetrapulmonata and Scorpiones. Sharma et al. (2014) renamed this clade Arachnopulmonata, replacing the earlier Pulmonata (Firstman 1973) to avoid confusion with the clade of terrestrial molluscs of the same name.

Scorpions, like tetrapulmonates, possess book lungs whereas all other arachnids have non-pulmonate respiratory systems (typically tracheae); and comparative study of scorpion and tetrapulmonate book lungs has revealed detailed structural similarities that are consistent with them being homologous (Scholtz and Kamenz 2006). Likewise, comparative work on the tetrapulmonate and scorpion vascular systems has implied homology (Klußmann-Fricke and Wirkner 2016). Furthermore, it has been identified that scorpions and spiders share a common ancestral whole genome duplication that is present in all arachnopulmonates, therefore being an additional line of evidence suggesting the monophyly of the group (Leite et al. 2018).

Previous phylogenetic hypotheses based on morphology have posited scorpions in a range of positions on the chelicerate tree including: I) sister group to the remaining Arachnida (Weygoldt and Paulus 1979), II) not arachnids at all, but closer to eurypterids (sea scorpions) (Dunlop and Braddy 2001), and III) distant from the tetrapulmonates but within Arachnida, allied with Opiliones (Shultz 1990, 2007; Wheeler and Hayashi 1998; Giribet et al. 2002). Hypothesis 1 (Fig. 2A) and hypothesis 3 (Fig. 2C) imply that the seemingly homologous book lungs are either homoplastic, or are an arachnid sympleisiomorphy that has been lost by all non-pulmonate lineages – which is not supported by any known fossils (but equally the lack of consensus in arachnid phylogeny complicates reconstructing the plesiomorphic condition for arachnids). Hypothesis 2 (Fig. 2B) again requires book lung homoplasy, and that scorpions share a marine origin with eurypterids. All of these morphological hypotheses are therefore less well-supported than Arachnopulmonata (Hypothesis 4, Fig. 2D), which is corroborated by molecular and morphological evidence.

The internal phylogeny of Scorpiones, like that of Arachnida, is also of considerable contention, with new hope of consensus emerging with the rise of more sophisticated molecular phylogenetic methods. Earlier phylogenetic hypotheses, based almost exclusively on morphological characters (Lamoral 1980; Stockwell 1989; Sissom 1990; Soleglad and Fet 2003; Coddington 2004), conflict in some respects with the most recent hypotheses based on transcriptomes (Sharma et al. 2015, 2018) (see Fig. 3), and no morphological hypothesis has ever received widespread acceptance. Subsequently, this has led to conflict of opinion (Fet and Soleglad 2005; Prendini and Wheeler 2005), and a state of flux in the taxonomic nomenclature of the group. Morphological tradition postulates a basal dichotomy between the family Buthidae (usually recognisable by the thin tweezer-like pedipalps and robust metasoma) and the non-buthid scorpions, with the positions of the ‘living fossil’ Pseudochactidae (Gromov 1998; Prendini et al. 2006) and the monogeneric Chaerilidae being subject to debate as they share characters with both buthid and non-buthid scorpions. The lack of morphological consensus may be a consequence of morphological stasis, which was suggested by Sharma et al. (2015), but no studies covering the breadth of scorpion diversity have attempted to quantify this in a morphometric context. The transcriptome-based study of Sharma et al. (2015) controverted morphological hypotheses, refuting the monophyly of a number of groups at various taxonomic levels, and places Buthidae, Pseudochactidae and Chaerilidae together in a clade (Buthida) which in turn is the sister group to all remaining extant scorpions (Iurida).

3. New frontiers in total evidence phylogeny

Morphological and molecular studies of scorpion phylogeny have so far failed to converge upon a common answer, and this is obfuscated further by a lack of fossil record integration beyond the work of Stockwell (1989) and subsequently Jeram (1994, 1998) – each limited to parsimony analyses of morphological characters. The rich scorpion fossil record is informative of stem group diversity, character evolution, and provides temporal constraints for molecular dating. Resolving the relative timings of the evolutionary divergences between species and clades in the geological past yields crucial information for interpreting evolutionary phenomena. Therefore, accurately dating the phylogenetic divergences of wholly terrestrial arthropod clades is of paramount importance in understanding the evolution of the terrestrial biosphere. Reconstructing such ‘time trees’, or phylograms, is becoming increasingly methodologically sophisticated, and has become prominent as the backbone for comparative studies in evolutionary biology and palaeontology.

Molecular phylogenies were initially dated by assuming a constant clocklike rate of molecular evolution (known as the strict molecular clock), and calibrated with reference to the fossil record (Zuckermandl and Pauling 1962a, b). However, it has long been known that the rate of molecular evolution changes across sites, genes and lineages. To address these problems, a variety of models have been developed to relax the assumptions of the molecular clock (e.g. Sanderson 1996; Rambaut

and Bromham 1998; Thorne et al. 1998; Thorne and Kishino 2002; Drummond et al. 2006; Lepage et al. 2007; Linder et al. 2011; Ronquist et al. 2012). Accordingly, current software to estimate divergence times integrate fossil evidence and genomic information in a Bayesian framework (Heled and Drummond 2011) using “relaxed” molecular clock models (Drummond and Rambaut 2007; Yang 2007; Höhna et al. 2016). Two alternative approaches have been developed to integrate fossil information in molecular clock analyses. The most commonly used is “node-dating”, where stratigraphic range data based on the occurrence of fossil taxa are assigned probability distributions (Yang and Rannala 2006) and used to describe prior knowledge on the age of a set of nodes in the phylogeny (see Dos Reis et al. 2015). The second is “tip-dating” where the fossils are directly integrated into the analysis through the generation of a “total evidence” (i.e. molecular and morphological) dataset (Ronquist et al. 2012). Total evidence dating differs over node-calibration methodologies in that it incorporates fossils into the analysis without prior assumption of their phylogenetic position, and can therefore directly integrate phylogenetic uncertainty in the placement of fossils.

However, there are still considerable obstacles to overcome for total evidence dating to become “the industry standard”, with studies often recovering demonstrably incorrect ages (e.g. Ronquist et al. 2012). O’Reilly et al. (2015) identified a number of key issues facing total evidence dating that seem to contribute to the frequent recovery of unrealistic divergence time estimates, including a lack of realistic models to describe morphological evolution, the non-random nature of missing character information in fossils, and how to accommodate uncertainty in fossil ages. A significant development to overcoming these issues has come from the development of the fossilized birth-death process (Heath et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2016), which takes advantage of the Bayesian approach to incorporate additional information concerning fossilization and the sampling process, with the aim of uniting extinct and extant species with a single evolutionary model.

4. Dating the scorpion Tree of Life

Scorpions are the oldest arachnids in the fossil record (Dunlop 2010; Dunlop and Selden 2013), with *Dolichophonus loudonensis* Laurie, 1899 from the Pentland Hills, Scotland being dated to the Telychian Stage of the Silurian Period (438.5 – 433.4 Mya). *D. loudonensis* remains the most ancient record of both scorpions and arachnids, and is therefore a critical fossil calibration point in node-calibrated divergence time estimations (Rota-Stabelli et al. 2013a; Wolfe et al. 2016; Sharma et al. 2018). To date, the most comprehensive attempt at dating the scorpion tree was that of Sharma et al. (2018), wherein a tree inferred from a large phylogenomic dataset was dated under relaxed clock models using five node-calibrations based on arachnid fossils. The resultant age estimates recovered by Sharma et al. (2018) were significantly influenced by model selection and present wide distributions. The study used the autocorrelated lognormal and uncorrelated gamma multipliers clock models, and recovered crown group divergence time estimates in the Silurian-Carboniferous (95% HPD 423.1 – 333.6 Mya) and Devonian-Triassic (95% HPD 380.6 – 209.1 Mya), respectively. Whilst model selection and data partitioning have a great effect on the precision of molecular dating, the results of Sharma et al. (2018) are also reflective of a paucity of candidate calibration fossils in node-dating the scorpion tree. Sharma et al. (2018) used two scorpion fossil calibrations, the oldest total group scorpion and the oldest crown group scorpion. Little has been done to clarify the phylogenetic relationships of fossil scorpions, particularly with respect to extant diversity. As such, node-dating falls short when suitable calibrations are scarce, as it is ultimately reliant on prior interpretations of where fossils are located on the tree. The scorpions present an ideal scenario wherein total evidence dating could overcome the limitations of node-dating, but is itself limited by the challenges of interpreting the highly homoplastic nature of scorpion morphology through time. Therefore, at present, total evidence dating is unlikely to be possible without a new and bespoke morphological dataset for fossil and extant scorpion.

We therefore present a more comprehensive node-calibrated molecular dating analysis to accompany this review. Our results show that the origin of the scorpion crown group can be relatively precisely constrained using this method, provided more substantial (and systematically justified) fossil calibrations are applied (see Table 2 for additional scorpion calibration descriptions, and Table 3 for full list of calibrations used in this study).

4.1 Phylogenomic matrix generation

Transcriptomes of 18 scorpions and five outgroups (two spiders, an amblypygid, a thelyphonid and a pseudoscorpion) were downloaded from NCBI (see Table 1), and mRNA transcripts were subsequently reconstructed using the Trinity assembler (Grabherr et al. 2011; Haas et al. 2013), and then translated into proteins using TransDecoder (<https://transdecoder.github.io/>). To then compile a phylogenomic matrix of protein-coding genes, we predicted the orthologs of a set of 290 conserved ecdysozoan protein-coding sequences (from the flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum* Herbst, 1797) gathered mostly from a previous study (Rota-Stabelli et al. 2013a) using a custom BLAST (Altschul et al. 1990) based pipeline (https://github.com/jairly/MoSuMa_tools). Selected hits of all taxa clustered in orthologous groups were then aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar 2004) with default settings, and the outputted gene alignments were concatenated using FASconCAT (Kück and Meusemann 2010) to generate a final super-alignment of 53,634 amino acid sites.

4.2 Phylogenetic analysis

From the super-alignment we inferred a phylogenetic tree under maximum-likelihood (ML) using IQ-TREE (Nguyen et al. 2015). We implemented the ProtTest option (Darriba et al. 2011) to select the best fitting substitution model (LG+~~F~~+~~I~~+~~G~~4, Le and Gascuel 2008), and used the ultrafast bootstrap approximation method (UFBoot, Minh et al. 2013; Hoang et al. 2018) to run 1000 bootstrap replicates. The resultant tree topology (see Fig. 4) was then used to run molecular dating analyses. We include supplementary data files containing our phylogenomic matrix, and our tree file and dating results.

4.3 Molecular dating

Node-calibrated Bayesian relaxed clock molecular dating analyses were performed in the program MCMCTree in the PAML 4 package (Yang 2007). First, we implemented the CODEML program (also in the PAML 4 package) to generate a Hessian matrix for the super-alignment under the LG model with gamma rates among sites. We then used the approximate likelihood method to estimate divergence times. Time priors were constructed from 9 soft-upper and hard lower bounded calibration points with uniform distributions, and a uniform birth-death process (Yang and Rannala 2006). Fossil calibrations were either revised from Wolfe et al. (2016), or newly described for this study (see Table 2 and Fig. 5). We used the MCMCTreeR program (<https://github.com/PuttickMacroevolution/MCMCTreeR>) to generate calibration inputs for analyses in MCMCTree (Table 3). Analyses were repeated using both the independent and correlated rates relaxed clock models in MCMCTree, and both iterations were again repeated to ensure convergence of the MCMC chains.

4.4 Results

Our results (summarised in Fig. 6) indicate that the scorpion crown group originated (i.e. the divergence between total group Buthida + Iurida) during an interval spanning the Carboniferous–Permian, possibly as early as the Visean (Carboniferous, Mississippian) and possibly as late as the Wordian (Permian, Cisuralian). Both the correlated rates (CR) and independent rates (IR) models yielded similar estimates (CR = 287.28 - 335.03 Mya; IR = 266.27 - 324 Mya), indicating that these results are robust to model selection. This interval is comfortably within the stratigraphic range of the supercontinent Pangaea, which had started to form earlier during the Devonian, and had largely assembled via the closure of the Rheic Ocean by the beginning of the Carboniferous (Nance and Linnemann 2008). Our estimate is therefore concordant with a hypothesis of Pangaeian vicariance to explain the global distribution of crown scorpions.

Deep nodes within the scorpion crown group are younger in our tree than those inferred by Sharma et al. (2018). Our estimation of the Buthida–Iurida divergence as Carboniferous–Permian contrasts with theirs as Silurian–Carboniferous, and the same applies to the deepest splits within Buthida (Permian–Triassic herein versus Devonian–Permian) and Iurida (Triassic–Jurassic herein versus Carboniferous–Triassic). These younger dates and shorter 95% highest probability density are likely attributable to the inclusion of more scorpion calibration fossils. In particular, setting a soft maximum for crown group Orthosterni based on Carboniferous fossils (see Table 2) contributes to younger crown-group divergences.

5. Scorpion terrestrialization

Amongst terrestrial animal clades, only the unparalleled insects outnumber arachnids by number of described species. Arachnids are thoroughly widespread in the continental realm, and have managed to adapt to live permanently in some of the most hostile environments imaginable, including the Arctic tundra (e.g. the Arctic wolf spider *Pardosa glacialis* Thorell, 1872) and at extreme high altitudes (e.g. the Himalayan jumping spider *Euophrys omnisuperstes* Wanless, 1975). The overwhelming majority of extant arachnids are wholly terrestrial, and those adapted to a semi-aquatic or aquatic mode of life, such as the raft spiders, the diving bell spiders, several groups of aquatic mites, and the troglobitic scorpions *Alacran* (Santibáñez-López et al. 2014) are thought to have returned to the water secondarily.

As scorpions appear so early in the terrestrial arthropod fossil record, there has been much interest in the palaeobiology of the ancient Siluro-Devonian scorpions (Dunlop et al. 2008b; Poschmann et al. 2008; Kühl et al. 2012; Waddington et al. 2015), which surely hold crucial insight into the dynamics of arachnid evolution and terrestrialization. Central to this interest is the debate over whether or not there was a single terrestrial common ancestor to all living arachnids. Terrestrialization is fundamental in arachnid evolutionary history. Whether terrestrialization occurred in a piecemeal fashion across various lineages, or just once is hugely significant as it greatly influences how we perceive the evolution of an array of morphological characters that relate to a terrestrial mode of life (e.g. respiratory systems, sensory systems, reproductive systems, locomotory appendages, feeding appendages). The physiological demands of life on land require major modification to such anatomical features, and this is probably best illustrated by the respiratory organs – a great range of which are exhibited by extant chelicerates (book gills, book lungs, sieve tracheae, tube tracheae etc.).

Some authors through the 1980s and 1990s inferred that various chelicerate groups made the transition to land independently of each other (e.g. Selden and Jeram 1989; Dunlop and Webster 1999), or that the monophyly of Arachnida may be questionable (Dunlop 1998; Dunlop and Braddy 2001). The phylogeny and palaeobiology of early scorpions are critical to this line of reasoning, with some of these authors suggesting that scorpion adaptations to terrestrial life were potentially convergent with other arachnids (Dunlop 1998; Dunlop and Webster 1999). This was further supported by the hypothesis of a close relationship between scorpions and eurypterids (Braddy et al. 1999; Dunlop and Webster 1999; Dunlop and Braddy 2001), and interpretations of the earliest fossil scorpions as marine in life habit (Rolfe and Beckett 1984; Kjellesvig-Waering 1986; Jeram 1998; Dunlop and Webster 1999). Taken together, these two lines of evidence suggest terrestrialization occurred within the scorpion lineage independently of other terrestrial chelicerates. In this scenario, a monophyletic arachnid ancestor (which is contradicted implicitly by the eurypterid hypothesis) need not have been a terrestrial organism, and terrestrial adaptations shared by extant scorpions and tetrapulmonates, chiefly book lungs, are homoplastic. However, this has been much contested. Eurypterids have successively failed to be recovered in phylogenetic analyses of morphological characters as the sister group to scorpions despite superficial similarity (Shultz 1990, 2007; Garwood and Dunlop 2014), and doubt has been cast on the marine habit of early scorpions (Kühl et al. 2012). Whilst the case for Scorpiones derived within Arachnida is strong (see section 1), the marine Siluro-Devonian scorpion debate remains a point of contention.

A marine lifestyle for early scorpions has often been inferred primarily on depositional environment, often without firm morphological support. Waddington et al. (2015) described limb morphology in a Silurian scorpion as consistent with terrestrial or at least semi-aquatic locomotion. It seems many early scorpion fossils are known from marginal marine depositional environments, as part of an assemblage that includes certain allochthonous components such as land plants (e.g. Kühl et al. 2012; Waddington et al. 2015). Therefore, it is difficult to determine with certainty whether a fossil scorpion was aquatic in life or was transported post-mortem, or perhaps was even semi-aquatic (as suggested by Waddington et al. 2015). Gills are yet another point of contention in scorpion evolution. Poschmann et al. (2008) described putative gills in a Devonian scorpion, *Waeringoscorpio* Størmer, 1970, but also hypothesised a secondary derivation of the external filamentous structures from book lungs, stressing their uniqueness and similarity to the tracheal gills of secondarily aquatic freshwater insects. Poschmann et al. (2008) therefore postulated a secondarily aquatic mode of life, and that the gills were an autapomorphy of *Waeringoscorpio*, rather than being evidence of a gill-to-lung water-to-land transition in scorpions. Similarly, a gill to lung transition also cannot simply be inferred from modern aquatic chelicerates. Comparative studies in ultrastructure and embryology are inconclusive with regard to homology between scorpion book lungs and the book gills of horseshoe crabs (Farley 2010, 2011). This is complicated further by the origin of chelicerate opisthosomal appendages, which is likely to be

telopodal rather than from epipod gills (Di et al. 2018). An extant homeotic mutant scorpion was described by Di et al. (2018) exhibiting stunted walking legs in the place of various opisthosomal appendages, including genital opercula and pectines, and an appendicular extension of a book lung. This suggests that the diversity of opisthosomal appendages exhibited by chelicerates are serially homologous with walking legs, rather than derived from epipodal gills, and therefore book lungs need not implicitly be part of the same transformation series as book gills based on a common original function.

Two other important interrelated hypotheses inform the terrestrialization debate: book lung homology across scorpions and tetrapulmonates, and the clustering of scorpions and tetrapulmonates in phylogenomic studies (Arachnopulmonata). There is strong evidence for homology of book lungs derived from rigorous comparative study. Scholtz and Kamenz (2006) described a number of detailed similarities in the book lungs of scorpions, amblypygids, uropygids and spiders, and concluded that the structures are homologous despite differences in their segmental position, although conceding small differences such as the orientation of the trabeculae relative to the parallel lamellae. Scholtz and Kamenz (2006) therefore ascribed book lung homology between scorpions and tetrapulmonates as evidence in favour of a single terrestrialization event and a monophyletic Arachnida. Only tetrapulmonates and scorpions possess book lungs, and so their homology (given that phylogenetic hypotheses at the time of Scholtz and Kamenz (2006) publication placed scorpions distant from tetrapulmonates within Arachnida) strongly suggested they represented a plesiomorphy for the ancestral Arachnida. However, in contrast to this, independent molecular studies utilizing different sources of data have recovered scorpions as the sister group to tetrapulmonates (Regier et al. 2010; Rota-Stabelli et al. 2013b; Sharma et al. 2014; Sharma and Wheeler 2014; Leite et al. 2018) – Arachnopulmonata, as discussed in section 1. The Arachnopulmonata hypothesis therefore suggests book lungs are synapomorphic for scorpions and tetrapulmonates, but limits how they can inform the sequence of terrestrialization.

6. The scorpion fossil record

Chelicerates are well represented in the fossil record, almost 2000 valid arachnid species were documented a decade ago (Dunlop et al. 2008a). Much of this palaeodiversity is concentrated into Konservat-Lagerstätten, sites that exhibit fossils with exceptional preservation, and unusually more Palaeozoic scorpions are known than Mesozoic or Cenozoic ones. The most comprehensive account of fossil scorpions is the posthumous monograph of Erik N. Kjöresvig-Waering (Kjöresvig-Waering 1986), which comprises the sum of his work on fossil scorpions of the world throughout the 1950s, 1960s and 1970s. Few scorpions younger than Palaeozoic in age were known at the time the work was undertaken, but this has changed considerably with new discoveries in Cretaceous and Cenozoic ambers over the past decade or so in particular (Lourenço 2016). Kjöresvig-Waering's work is often resorted to despite its flaws, owing to a lack of alternatives. Kjöresvig-Waering recorded a number of dubious morphological observations (such as gills and gill opercula) in some fossils, which were frequently inferred to encompass entire higher groups. As a result, Kjöresvig-Waering's systematic classification is basally divided into the Branchioscorpionina, which includes the majority of Palaeozoic scorpions and is presumed to be aquatic, and the terrestrial Neoscorpionina, which originates in the Carboniferous. "Branchioscorpionina" was conceived as unequivocally paraphyletic. The classification erects a number of cumbersome monotypic higher groups, as well as many families, genera and species based on trivial morphology, and characters that have subsequently been reinterpreted as developmental or taphonomic in nature (e.g. Dunlop et al. 2008b; Legg et al. 2012) or based on erroneous interpretations of morphology (Dunlop et al. 2007). Unsurprisingly, the scheme has failed to be supported by subsequent cladistic studies (Stockwell 1989; Jeram 1993, 1994, 1998). Jeram (1998) recognised the significance of the terrestrialization process in a cladistic analysis of fossil scorpions, noting that most morphological characters available in fossils are in some way linked to adaptations for a terrestrial life. As such, if there were multiple parallel terrestrialization events within the scorpion lineage, we would expect homoplasy in the dataset due to similar selection pressures, obscuring the true phylogenetic signal. Characters independent of terrestrial adaptations are required to test this, but this is limited by fossil preservation and must be addressed in future studies.

Whilst a workable systematic classification is still desired, some recent accounts do recognise an outline developing in scorpion evolutionary history (Dunlop 2010). An early diverging group seems to be recognised, the Palaeoscorpionina, alongside a more derived lineage containing Mesoscorpionina and Neoscorpionina as sister groups. The monophyly of these groups are untested, but at the least a

broad picture of scorpion evolution seems to be encapsulated by them. The oldest group, the palaeoscorpions, are known from the Silurian of Europe and North America (Thorell and Lindström 1885; Whitfield 1885; Laurie 1899; Kjellesvig-Waering 1954; Dunlop and Selden 2013; Waddington et al. 2015). The palaeoscorpions exhibit a coxo-sternal region (the conjunction of the walking leg coxae on the ventral surface of the prosoma) that is interpreted as less derived, and the informal group persists into the Carboniferous (Leary 1980). In palaeoscorpions the sternum itself is broad, unlike the reduced pentagonal sterna of modern scorpions, and there are no coxapophyses – which are proximal extensions of first and second pairs of walking leg coxae that together form part of the stomotheca (the feeding chamber). The mesoscorpions first appear in the Devonian and were recognised as distinct by Stockwell (1989), showing a more derived coxo-sternal region with coxapophyses as exhibited by extant scorpions. Mesoscorpions show the first direct evidence for book lungs (Jeram 1990), and seem to persist into the Mesozoic (Wills 1947; Dunlop et al. 2007). Mesoscorpions were often large (300-700 mm in length), and were probably important predators in the Late Devonian and Carboniferous (Jeram 1998). The neoscorpions have reduced lateral eyes, and are divided into two groups. These are Orthosterni, which appears in the Carboniferous and contains the scorpion crown group (Jeram, 1994), and Palaeosterni, which is restricted to the Carboniferous only. The Orthosterni are characterised by their spiracles being located within their sternites (the ventral plates of the mesosoma) rather than at the sternite margins. The oldest fossil material potentially assignable to a modern taxon (the superfamily Buthoidea) is Early Triassic in age (Lourenço and Gall 2004), and the oldest unequivocal members of living families (Chactidae and Hemiscorpionidae) are Early Cretaceous (Menon 2007).

7. Conclusions

Scorpions have confounded our understanding of animal terrestrialization for several decades. Arachnids are one of the most successful terrestrial animal groups, but at present the details of their journey out of the water are unclear. It is therefore fundamental in resolving arachnid evolutionary history to constrain the phylogeny of the scorpion total group using the fossil record and implement advances in phylogenetic divergence time estimation in synergy. This is challenging, as their conservative (or cryptic) morphology seems to have given us little consensus on the interrelationships both within their lineage, and amongst the other arachnid groups. Fossil scorpions are also problematic in that they have suffered from tenuous systematic interpretations and a lack of consensus on their general palaeobiology, most notably whether key species were aquatic or terrestrial. Although a comprehensive scorpion time tree that is up to date with the most recent phylogenetic methods and hypotheses is currently not available, we demonstrate that established methods (i.e. node-dating) can place a reasonable temporal constraint on the origination of the crown group, at least. However, recent advances in dating phylogenies, particularly total evidence dating methods using relaxed molecular clocks and the recently described fossilized birth-death model for calibrating divergence time estimates (see section 2) could prove extremely fruitful. With such recent advancements in phylogenetics, coupled with the rapid accumulation of molecular sequence data, the stage is set for a potential revolution in our understanding of scorpion evolution that would reverberate to arachnids more broadly. A well-constrained time-tree combining extant and fossil taxa would allow researchers to address arachnid evolution accurately in a more holistic, geobiological context (scorpions have survived at least three mass extinctions, for example). Unification of fossil and extant organisms in a common phylogenetic and macroevolutionary framework elucidates otherwise untenable deep evolutionary relationships by circumventing biases specific to certain types of data, such as long branch attraction in molecular data (Bergsten 2005; Lartillot et al. 2007; Rota-Stabelli et al. 2011) and biases introduced by decay in fossil data (Sansom and Wills 2013). Therefore, it is critical to continue to describe and interpret new fossils, with care taken to focus on the acquisition of reliably homologous characters. Fossils are the only direct record of cladogenesis, and their integration into rapidly advancing and computationally intense phylogenetic methodologies is of paramount relevance. Molecular sequence data are only informative in dating evolutionary (i.e. geological) timescales as long as their veracity can be ground-truthed by fossils.

Acknowledgements

R. J. Howard was supported by NERC GW4+ doctoral training partnership, D. A. Legg was supported by a Dame Kathleen Ollerenshaw Fellowship from the University of Manchester, and J. L-F was supported by a Marie Skłodowska-Curie fellowship (655814).

References

- Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., & Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 215, 403–410.
- Baptista, C., Santiago-Blay, J. A., Soleglad, M. E., & Fet, V. (2006). The Cretaceous scorpion genus, *Archaeobuthus*, revisited (Scorpiones: Archaeobuthidae). *Euscorpius*, 25, 1-40.
- Bergsten, J. (2005). A review of long-branch attraction. *Cladistics*, 21, 163–193.
- Braddy, S. J., Aldridge, R. J., Gabbott, S. E., & Theron, J. N. (1999). Lamellate book-gills in a late Ordovician eurypterid from the Soom Shale, South Africa: Support for a eurypterid-scorpion clade. *Lethaia*, 32, 72–74.
- Campos, D. R. B. (1986). Primeiro registro fóssil de Scorpionoidea na chapada do Araripe (Cretáceo Inferior), Brasil. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências*, 58, 135-137.
- Coddington, J. A. (2004). Arachnida. In: Cracraft, J., & Donoghue, M. J. (Eds.), *Assembling the Tree of Life*, (pp. 296-318). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Darriba, D., Taboada, G. L., Doallo, R., & Posada, D. (2011). ProtTest 3: Fast selection of best-fit models of protein evolution. *Bioinformatics*, 27, 1164–1165.
- de Carvalho, M. da G. P., & Lourenço, W. R. (2001). A new family of fossil scorpions from the Early Cretaceous of Brazil. *Comptes Rendus l'Académie des Sciences - Series IIA - Earth and Planetary Science*, 332, 711–716.
- Di, Z., Edgecombe, G. D., & Sharma, P. P. (2018). Homeosis in a scorpion supports a telopodal origin of pectines and components of the book lungs. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 18, 73.
- Dos Reis, M., Thawornwattana, Y., Angelis, K., Telford, M. J., Donoghue, P. C., & Yang, Z. (2015). Uncertainty in the timing of origin of animals and the limits of precision in molecular timescales. *Current Biology*, 25, 2939–2950.
- Drummond, A. J., Ho, S. Y. W., Phillips, M. J., & Rambaut, A. (2006). Relaxed phylogenetics and dating with confidence. *PLoS Biology*, 4, 699–710.
- Drummond, A. J., & Rambaut, A. (2007). BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 2, 214.
- Dunlop, J. A. (1998). The origins of tetrapulmonate book lungs and their significance for chelicerate phylogeny. In: Selden, P. A. (Ed.) *Proceedings of the 17th European Colloquium of Arachnology*, (pp. 9-16). Edinburgh 1997.
- Dunlop, J. A. (2010). Geological history and phylogeny of Chelicerata. *Arthropod Structure & Development*, 39, 124–142.
- Dunlop, J. A., Borner, J., & Burmester, T. (2014). Phylogeny of the chelicerates: morphological and molecular evidence. In: Wägele, J.-W., & Bartolomaeus, T. (Eds.), *Deep Metazoan Phylogeny Backbone Tree Life*, (pp. 399–412). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Dunlop, J. A., & Braddy, S. J. (2001). Scorpions and their sistergroup relationships. In: Fet, V., Selden, P. A. (Eds.) *Scorpions 2001 In Memoriam Gary A. Polis*, (pp. 1-24). Burnam Beeches: The British Arachnological Society.
- Dunlop, J. A., Kamenz, C., & Scholtz, G. (2007). Reinterpreting the morphology of the Jurassic scorpion *Liassoscorpionides*. *Arthropod Structure & Development*, 36, 245–252.
- Dunlop, J. A., Penney, D., Tetlie, E., & Anderson, L. I. (2008a). How many species of fossil arachnids Are There? *The Journal of Arachnology*, 36, 267–272.
- Dunlop, J. A., & Selden, P. A., (2013). Scorpion fragments from the Silurian of Powys, Wales. *Arachnology*, 16, 27-32.

- Dunlop, J. A., Tetlie, O. E., & Prendini, L. (2008b). Reinterpretation of the Silurian scorpion *Proscorpius osborni* (Whitfield): Integrating data from Palaeozoic and Recent scorpions. *Palaeontology*, 51, 303-320.
- Dunlop, J. A., & Webster, M. (1999). Fossil evidence, terrestrialization and arachnid phylogeny. *Journal of Arachnology*, 27, 86-93.
- Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 32, 1792-1797.
- Farley, R. D. (2010). Book gill development in embryos and first and second instars of the horseshoe crab *Limulus polyphemus* L. (Chelicerata, Xiphosura). *Arthropod Structure & Development*, 39, 369-381.
- Farley, R. D. (2011). The ultrastructure of book lung development in the bark scorpion *Centruroides gracilis* (Scorpiones: Buthidae). *Frontiers in Zoology*, 8, 18.
- Fernández, R., Hormiga, G., & Giribet, G. (2014). Phylogenomic analysis of spiders reveals nonmonophyly of orb weavers. *Current Biology*, 24, 1772-1777.
- Fet, V., & Soleglad, M. E. (2005). Contributions to scorpion systematics. I. On recent changes in high-level taxonomy. *Euscorpius*, 31, 1-13.
- Firstman, B. (1973). The relationship of the chelicerate arterial system to the evolution of the endosternite. *Journal of Arachnology*, 1, 1-54.
- Garwood, R. J., & Dunlop, J. A. (2014). Three-dimensional reconstruction and the phylogeny of extinct chelicerate orders. *PeerJ*, 2, e641.
- Gervais, P. (1844). Remarques sur la famille des Scorpions et description de plusieurs especes nouvelles de la collection du Muséum. *Archives du Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris*, 4, 201-240.
- Giribet, G., Edgecombe, G. D., Wheeler, W. C., & Babbitt, C. (2002). Phylogeny and systematic position of Opiliones: A combined analysis of chelicerate relationships using morphological and molecular data. *Cladistics*, 18, 5-70.
- Grabherr, M. G., et al. (2011). Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nature Biotechnology*, 29, 644-652.
- Gromov, A. V. (1998). A new family, genus, and species of scorpion (Arachnida, Scorpiones) from the southern part of Central Asia. *Zoologicheskii zhurnal*, 77, 1003-1008.
- Haas, B. J., et al. (2013). De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity platform for reference generation and analysis. *Nature Protocols*, 8, 1494-1512.
- Heath, T. A., Huelsenbeck, J. P., & Stadler, T. (2014). The fossilized birth-death process for coherent calibration of divergence-time estimates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (USA)*, 29, 2957-2966.
- Heled, J., & Drummond, A. J. (2011). Calibrated tree prior for relaxed phylogenetics and divergence time estimation. *Systematic Biology*, 61, 138-149.
- Herbst, J. F. W. (1797). *Natursystem aller bekannten in- und ausländischen Insekten, als eine Fortsetzung der Büffonschen Naturgeschichte. Der Käfer. Vol. 7.* Berlin: Joachim Pauli.
- Hoang, D. T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B. Q., & Vinh, L. S. (2018). UFBoot2: Improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 35, 518-522.
- Höhna, S., Landis, M. J., Heath, T. A., Boussau, B., Lartillot, N., Moore, B. R., Huelsenbeck, J. P., & Ronquist, F. (2016). RevBayes: Bayesian phylogenetic inference using graphical models and an interactive model-specification language. *Systematic Biology*, 65, 726-736.
- Isbister, G. K., & Saluba Bawaskar, H. (2014). Scorpion envenomation. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 371, 457-463.

- Jago, J. B., García-Bellido, D. C., & Gehling, J. G. (2016). An early Cambrian chelicerate from the Emu Bay Shale, South Australia. *Palaeontology*, 59, 549–562.
- Jeram, A. J. (1990). Book-lungs in a Lower Carboniferous scorpion. *Nature*, 343, 360–361.
- Jeram, A. J. (1993). Scorpions from the Viséan of East Kirkton, West Lothian, Scotland, with a revision of the infraorder Mesoscorpionina. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences*, 84, 283–299.
- Jeram, A. J. (1994). Carboniferous Orthosterni and their relationship to living scorpions. *Palaeontology*, 37, 513–550.
- Jeram, A. J. (1998). Phylogeny, classification and evolution of Silurian and Devonian scorpions. In: Selden, P. A. (Ed.) *Proceedings of the 17th European Colloquium of Arachnology*, (pp. 17–31). Edinburgh 1997.
- Kjellesvig-Waering, E. N. (1954). Note on a new Silurian (Downtonian) scorpion from Shropshire, England. *Journal of Paleontology*, 28, 485–486.
- Kjellesvig-Waering, E. N. (1986). A restudy of the fossil Scorpionida of the world. *Palaeontographia Americana*, 55, 1–287.
- Klußmann-Fricke, B. J., & Wirkner, C. S. (2016). Comparative morphology of the hemolymph vascular system in Uropygi and Amblypygi (Arachnida): Complex correspondences support Arachnopulmonata. *Journal of Morphology*, 277, 1084–1103.
- Koch, C. L. (1837). *Übersicht des Arachnidensystems, Heft 1*. Nürnberg.
- Kück, P., & Meusemann, K. (2010). FASconCAT: Convenient handling of data matrices. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 56, 1115–1118.
- Kühl, G., Bergmann, A., Dunlop, J., Garwood, R. J., & Rust, J. (2012). Redescription and palaeobiology of *Palaeoscorpius devonicus* Lehmann, 1944 from the Lower Devonian Hunsrück Slate of Germany. *Palaeontology* 55, 775–787.
- Lamarck, J. B. P. A. (1801). *Système des Animaux sans Vertébrés*. Paris: Lamarck and Deterville.
- Lamoral, B. H. (1980). A reappraisal of the suprageneric classification of recent scorpions and their zoogeography. In: Gruber, J. (Ed.) *Verhandlungen. 8. Internationaler Arachnologen-Kongress abgehalten an der Universität für Bodenkultur Wien*, (pp. 439–444). Vienna: H. Egermann.
- Lartillot, N., Brinkmann, H., & Philippe, H. (2007). Suppression of long-branch attraction artefacts in the animal phylogeny using a site-heterogeneous model. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 7, Suppl 1.
- Laurie, M. (1899). On a Silurian scorpion and some additional eurypterid remains from the Pentland Hills. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences*, 39, 575–590.
- Le, S. Q., & Gascuel, O. (2008). An improved general amino acid replacement matrix. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 25, 1307–1320.
- Leary, R. L. (1980). *Labriscorpio alliedensis*: A new Carboniferous scorpion from Rock Island County, Illinois. *Journal of Paleontology*, 54, 1255–1257.
- Legg, D. A., Garwood, R. J., Dunlop, J. A., & Sutton, M. D. (2012). A taxonomic revision of orthosternous scorpions from the English Coal Measures aided by x-ray micro-tomography (XMT). *Palaeontologia Electronica*, 15, 1.
- Leite, D. J., Baudouin-Gonzalez, L., Iwasaki-Yokozawa, S., Lozano-Fernandez, J., Turetzek, N., Akiyama-Oda, Y., Prpic, N-M., Pisani, D. Oda, H., & Sharma, P. (2018). Homeobox gene duplication and divergence in Arachnids. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 35, 2240–2253.
- Lepage, T., Bryant, D., Philippe, H., & Lartillot, N. (2007). A general comparison of relaxed molecular clock models. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 24, 2669–2680.
- Linder, M., Britton, T., & Sennblad, B. (2011). Evaluation of Bayesian models of substitution rate evolution - parental guidance versus mutual independence. *Systematic Biology*, 60, 329–342.

- Lourenço, W. R. (2016). A preliminary synopsis on amber scorpions with special reference to Burmite species: an extraordinary development of our knowledge in only 20 years. *Zookeys*, 600, 75–87.
- Lourenço, W. R., & Gall, J. C. (2004). Scorpions fossiles du Buntsandstein (Trias inférieur) de France. *Comptes Rendus Palevol*, 3, 369–378.
- Lozano-Fernandez, J., Carton, R., Tanner, A. R., Puttick, M. N., Blaxter, M., Vinther, J., Olesen, J., Giribet, G., Edgecombe, G. D., & Pisani, D. (2016) A molecular palaeobiological exploration of arthropod terrestrialization. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Science*, 371, 20151233.
- Martill, D. M., Bechly, G., & Loveridge, R. F. (2007). *The Crato fossil beds of Brazil: Window into an ancient world*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Menon, F. (2007) Higher systematic of scorpions from the Crato Formation, Lower Cretaceous of Brazil. *Palaeontology*, 50, 185–195.
- Melchin, M. J., Sadler, P. M., Cramer, B. D., Cooper, R. A., Gradstein, F. M., & Hammer, O. (2012). The Silurian Period. In: Gradstein, F. M., Ogg, G. M., Ogg, J. G., Schmitz, M. D. (Eds.) *The Geologic Timescale*, (pp. 525-558). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Minh, B. Q., Nguyen, M. A. T., & von Haeseler, A. (2013) Ultrafast approximation for phylogenetic bootstrap. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 30, 1188–1195.
- Nance, R. D., & Linnemann, U. (2008) The Rheic Ocean: Origin, evolution, and significance. *GSA Today*, 18, 4–12.
- Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A., & Minh, B. Q. (2015) IQ-TREE: A fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 32, 268–274.
- O'Reilly, J. E., dos Reis, M., & Donoghue, P. C. J. (2015) Dating tips for divergence-time estimation. *Trends in Genetics*, 31, 637–650.
- Pepato, A. R., Da Rocha, C. E. F., & Dunlop, J. A. (2010) Phylogenetic position of the acariform mites: Sensitivity to homology assessment under total evidence. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 10, 1–23.
- Perry, M. L. (1995). Preliminary description of a new fossil scorpion from the Middle Eocene Green River Formation, Rio Blanco County, Colorado. In: Dayvault, R. D. & Averett, W. R. (Eds.) *The Green River Formation in Piceance Creek and Eastern Uinta Basins Field Trip*, (pp. 131-133). Grand Junction, Colorado: Grand Junction Geological Society.
- Pocock, R. I. (1888) XXXII.—On the African specimens of the genus *Scorpio* (Linn.) contained in the collection of the British Museum. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, 2, 245–255.
- Pointon, M. A., Chew, D. M., Ovtchrova, M., Sevastopulo, G. D., Crowley, Q. G. (2012). New high-resolution U-Pb dates from western European Carboniferous tuffs; implications for time scale calibration, the periodicity of Carboniferous cycles and stratigraphical correlation. *Journal of the Geological Society*, 169, 713-721.
- Poschmann, M., Dunlop, J. A., Kamenz, C., & Scholtz, G. (2008). The Lower Devonian scorpion *Waeringoscorpio* and the respiratory nature of its filamentous structures, with the description of a new species from the Westerwald area, Germany. *Palaontologische Zeitschrift*, 82, 418–436.
- Prendini, L. (2011). Order Scorpiones. In: Zhang, Z.-Q. (Ed.) *Animal biodiversity: an outline of higher-level classification and survey of taxonomic richness*, (pp. 115-117). Auckland: Magnolia Press, Auckland, pp 115–117.
- Prendini, L., Volschenk, E. S., Maaliki, S., & Gromov, A. V. (2006). A “living fossil” from Central Asia: The morphology of *Pseudochactas ovchinnikovi* Gromov, 1998 (Scorpiones: Pseudochactidae), with comments on its phylogenetic position. *Zoologischer Anzeiger*, 245, 211–248.
- Prendini, L., & Wheeler, W. C. (2005). Scorpion higher phylogeny and classification, taxonomic anarchy, and standards for peer review in online publishing. *Cladistics*, 21, 446–494.

- Rambaut, A., & Bromham, L. (1998). Estimating divergence dates from molecular sequences. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 15, 442–448.
- Regier, J. C., Shultz, J. W., Zwick, A., Hussey, A., Ball, B., Wetzer, R., Martin, J., & Cunningham, C. W. (2010). Arthropod relationships revealed by phylogenomic analysis of nuclear protein-coding sequences. *Nature*, 463, 1079–1083.
- Rehm, P., Pick, C., Borner, J., Markl, J., & Burmester, T. (2012). The diversity and evolution of chelicerate hemocyanins. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 12, 19.
- Rolfe, W. D. I., & Beckett, C. M. (1984). Autecology of Silurian Xiphosurida, Scorpionida, and Phyllocarida. *Special Papers in Palaeontology*, 32, 27–37.
- Ronquist, F., Klopstein, S., Vilhelmsen, L., Schulmeister, S., Murray, D. L., * Rasnitsyn, A. P. (2012). A total-evidence approach to dating with fossils, applied to the early radiation of the Hymenoptera. *Systematic Biology*, 61, 973–999.
- Rota-Stabelli, O., Campbell, L., Brinkmann, H., Edgecombe, G. D., Longhorn, S. J., Peterson, K. J., Pisani, D., Philippe, H., & Telford, M. J. (2011). A congruent solution to arthropod phylogeny: Phylogenomics, microRNAs and morphology support monophyletic Mandibulata. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 278, 298–306.
- Rota-Stabelli, O., Daley, A. C., & Pisani, D. (2013a). Molecular timetrees reveal a Cambrian colonization of land and a new scenario for ecdysozoan evolution. *Current Biology*, 23, 392–398.
- Rota-Stabelli, O., Lartillot, N., Philippe, H., & Pisani, D. (2013b) Serine Codon-Usage Bias in Deep Phylogenomics: Pancrustacean Relationships as a Case Study. *Systematic Biology*, 62, 121–133.
- Sanderson, M. J. (1996). A nonparametric approach to estimating divergence times in the absence of rate constancy. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 14, 1218–1231.
- Sansom, R. S., & Wills, M. A. (2013). Fossilization causes organisms to appear erroneously primitive by distorting evolutionary trees. *Scientific Reports*, 3, 1–5.
- Santiago-Blay, J. A., Fet, V., Soleglad, M. E., & Anderson, S. R. (2004a). A new genus and subfamily of scorpions from Lower Cretaceous Burmese amber. *Revista Ibérica de Aracnología*, 9, 3–14.
- Santiago-Blay, J. A., Soleglad, M. E., & Fet, V. (2004b). A redescription and family placement of *Uintascorpio* Perry, 1995 from the Parachute Creek Member of the Green River Formation (Middle Eocene) of Colorado, USA (Scorpiones: Buthidae). *Revista Ibérica de Aracnología*, 10, 7–16.
- Santibáñez-López, C. E., Francke, O. F., & Prendini, L. (2014). Shining a light into the world's deepest caves: phylogenetic systematics of the troglolithic scorpion genus *Alacran* Francke, 1982 (Typhlochactidae: Alacraninae). *Invertebrate Systematics*, 28, 643–664.
- Say, T. (1821). An account of the Arachnides of the United States. *Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia*, 2, 59–82.
- Scholtz, G., & Kamenz, C. (2006). The book lungs of Scorpiones and Tetrapulmonata (Chelicerata, Arachnida): Evidence for homology and a single terrestrialisation event of a common arachnid ancestor. *Zoology*, 109, 2–13.
- Selden, P. A., & Jeram, A. J. (1989). Palaeophysiology of terrestrialisation in the Chelicerata. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences*, 80, 303–310.
- Sharma, P. P., Baker, C. M., Cosgrove, J. G., Johnson, J. E., Oberski, J. T., Raven, R. J., Harey, M. S., Boyer, S. L., & Giribet, G. (2018) A revised dated phylogeny of scorpions: Phylogenomic support for ancient divergence of the temperate Gondwanan family Bothriuridae. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 122, 37–45.
- Sharma, P. P., Fernández, R., Esposito, L. A., González-Santillán, E., & Monod, L. (2015). Phylogenomic resolution of scorpions reveals multilevel discordance with morphological phylogenetic signal. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 282,

20142953.

- Sharma, P. P., Kaluziak, S. T., Pérez-Porro, A. R., González, V. L., Hormiga, G., Wheeler, W. C., & Giribet, G. (2014) Phylogenomic interrogation of Arachnida reveals systemic conflicts in phylogenetic signal. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 31, 2963–2984.
- Sharma, P. P., & Wheeler, W. C. (2014) Cross-bracing uncalibrated nodes in molecular dating improves congruence of fossil and molecular age estimates. *Frontiers in Zoology*, 2014, 11, 57.
- Shi, G., Grimaldi, D. A., Harlow, G. E., Wang, J., Wang, J., Yang, M., Lei, W., Li, Q., & Li, X. (2012). Age constraint on Burmese amber based on U-Pb dating of zircons. *Cretaceous Research*, 37, 155-163.
- Shultz, J. W. (1990). Evolutionary morphology and phylogeny of Arachnida. *Cladistics*, 6, 1–38.
- Shultz, J. W. (2007). A phylogenetic analysis of the arachnid orders based on morphological characters. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 150, 221–265.
- Shultz, J. W., & Regier, J. C (2000). Phylogenetic analysis of arthropods using two nuclear protein-encoding genes supports a crustacean + hexapod clade. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 267, 1011–1019.
- Simon, E. (1877). Etudes arachnologiques. 6e Mémoire. X. Arachnides nouveaux ou peu connus. *Annales de la Société entomologique de France*, 7, 225-242.
- Sissom, W. D. (1990). Systematics, biogeography, and paleontology. In: Polis, G. (Ed.) *The Biology of Scorpions*, (pp. 64-160). Stanford, California: Stanford University Press.
- Smith, M. E., & Carroll, A. R. (2015). Introduction to the Green River Formation. In: Smith M. E., Carroll A. R. (Eds.), *Stratigraphy and Palaeolimnology of the Green River Formation, Western USA*, (pp. 1-12). Berlin: Springer.
- Soleglad, M. E., & Fet, V., (2001). Evolution of Scorpion orthobothriotaxy: A cladistic approach. *Euscorpius*, 1, 1-38.
- Soleglad, M. E., & Fet, V. (2003). High-level systematics and phylogeny of the extant scorpions (Scorpiones: Orthosterni). *Euscorpius*, 11, 1-56.
- Stockwell, S. A. (1989). *Revision of the phylogeny and higher classification of scorpions (Chelicerata)*. Ph. D. thesis, University of Berkely.
- Stormer, L. (1970). Arthropods from the Lower Devonian (Lower Emsian) of Alken an der Mosel, Germany - Part 1: Arachnida. *Senckenbergiana lethaea*, 51, 335–369.
- Thorell, T. (1872) Remarks on synonyms of European spiders. Part III. Upsala: CJ Lundström.
- Thorell, T., & Lindström, G. (1885). On a Silurian scorpion from Gotland. *Konglige Sven Vetenskaps-Akademiens Handl*, 21, 1–33.
- Thorne, J. L., & Kishino, H. (2002). Divergence time and evolutionary rate estimation with multilocus data. *Systematic Biology*, 51, 689–702.
- Thorne, J. L., Kishino, H., & Painter, I. S. (1998). Estimating the rate of evolution of the rate of molecular evolution. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 15, 1647-1657.
- Waddington, J., Rudkin, D. M., & Dunlop, J. A. (2015). A new mid-Silurian aquatic scorpion - One step closer to land? *Biology Letters*, 11, 20140815.
- Wanless, F. R. (1975). Spiders of the family Salticidae from the upper slopes of Everest and Makalu. *Bulletin of the British Arachnological Society*, 3, 132–136.
- Wanless, F. R. (1977). On the occurrence of the scorpion *Euscorpius flavicaudis* (DeGeer) at Sheerness Port, Isle of Sheppey, Kent. *Bulletin of the British Arachnological Society*, 4, 74–76.
- Weygoldt, P., & Paulus, H. F. (1979). Untersuchungen zur Morphologie, Taxonomie und Phylogenie der Chelicerata. *Zeitschrift für Zoologische Systematik und Evolutionsforschung*, 17, 177-200.

- Wheeler, W. C., & Hayashi, C. Y. (1998). The phylogeny of the extant chelicerate orders. *Cladistics*, 14, 173–192.
- Whitfield, R. P. (1885). An American Silurian scorpion (*Palaeophonus osborni*). *Science*, 6, 87–88.
- Wills, L. J. (1947). A monograph of the British Triassic scorpions. *Palaeontographical Society Monograph Series*, 100-101, 1-137.
- Wolfe, J. M., Daley, A. C., Legg, D. A., & Edgecombe, G. D. (2016). Fossil calibrations for the arthropod Tree of Life. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 160, 43–110.
- Yang, Z. (2007). PAML 4 : Phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 24, 1586–1591.
- Yang, Z., & Rannala, B. (2006). Bayesian estimation of species divergence times under a molecular clock using multiple fossil calibrations with soft bounds. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 23, 212–226.
- Zhang, C., Stadler, T., Klopstein, S., Heath, T. A., Ronquist, F. (2016). Total-evidence dating under the fossilized birth-Death Process. *Systematic Biology*, 65, 228–249.
- Zuckerkandl, E., & Pauling, L. (1962a). Molecular disease, evolution and genetic heterogeneity. In: Kasha, M., & Pullman, B. (Eds.) *Horizons in biochemistry*, (pp 189–225). New York: Academic Press.
- Zuckerkandl, E., & Pauling, L. (1962b). Evolutionary divergence and convergence in proteins. In: Bryson, V., & Vogel, H. J. (Eds.) *Evolving genes and proteins*, (pp. 97-166). New York: Academic Press.

Figure captions

Figure 1. General consensus of internal phylogenetic relationships of Chelicerata at present. Question marks represent uncertainty surrounding the monophyly of mites and ticks (Acari) and the unresolved marine life habit of early scorpions.

Figure 2. Optimisation of book lung origin(s) on competing phylogenies of Chelicerata. A) Scorpions as the sister group to other Arachnida (e.g. Weygoldt and Paulus 1979), implying either book lung loss in other Arachnida or book lung convergence between scorpions and tetrapulmonates. B) Scorpions as sister group to Eurypterida (e.g. Dunlop and Braddy 2001), implying book lung convergence and multiple terrestrialization events. C) Scorpions as sister group to Opiliones (e.g. Shultz 1990, 2007; Wheeler and Hayashi 1998; Giribet et al. 2002), implying either book lung loss in other Arachnida or book lung convergence between scorpions and tetrapulmonates. D) Arachnopulmonata – scorpions cluster with tetrapulmonates (e.g. Sharma et al. 2014), implying a single origin of book lungs.

Figure 3. Phylogenetic hypotheses of scorpion relationships, with representative taxa for each major group. A) *Pandinus (Pandinopsis) dictator* Pocock, 1888; B) *Cercophonius squama* Gervais, 1844; C) *Iurus dufourei* Brullé, 1832; D) *Brotheas* sp.; E) *Centruroides vittatus* Say, 1821; F) *Chaerilus variegatus* Simon, 1877; G) *Pseudochactas mischi* Soleglad et al. 2012 (image courtesy of Frantisek Kovarik). All other scorpion images by the authors.

Figure 4. Maximum likelihood tree inferred in IQ-TREE under LG+F+I+G4 model (Le and Gascuel 2008). Nodal support values determined from 1000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates (Minh et al. 2013; Hoang et al. 2018). Scale bar = branch length.

Figure 5. Scorpion calibration fossils, as specified in Table 1. A) *Dolichophonus loudonensis*, scale 1 cm; B) *Compsoscorpheus buthiformis*, scale 1 cm; C) *Electrochaerilus buckleyi*, scale 1 mm; D) *Protoischnurus axelrodurum*, scale 1 cm; E) *Uintascorpio halandrasi*, scale 1 cm. Images A and B belong to the authors. Image C reproduced with permission from Santiago-Blay et al. (2004a). Image E reproduced with permission from Santiago-Blay et al. (2004b). Image D courtesy of Wilson Lourenço.

Figure 6. Time tree for scorpions inferred in MCMCTree under the correlated rates model (Yang 2007), using 9 soft upper and hard lower bounded calibrations derived from the scorpion fossil record (see Tables 2 and 3). Node bars = 95% HPD intervals. Gold circles represent fossil node-calibration minima.

Table captions

Table 1. Transcriptomes used to generate the multiple sequence alignment for phylogenetic analyses and divergence time estimation (see 4 – Dating the scorpion Tree of Life). AMNH = American Museum of Natural History.

Table 2. Scorpion fossil node-calibration points for molecular dating. NMS – National Museum of Scotland, MN – Museu Nacional, Rio de Janeiro, DMNH – Denver Museum of Natural History.

Table 3. Full list of fossil calibrations used in our molecular dating analyses.